## BANNING MICKLOW & BULL LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Kurt Micklow Partner

E-mail:kmicklow@ banningmicklow.com One Market, Steuart Tower • Suite 1440 San Francisco, California 94105-1528 (415) 399-9191 Telecopier (415) 399-9192 E-mail: info@banningmicklow.com SAN DIEGO
SAN FRANCISCO
AMERICAN SAMOA
LONDON

September 19, 2006

## **VIA E-FILING**

Hon. Maxine M. Chesney United States District Court 450 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102 Hon. Vaughn R. Walker United States District Court 450 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Steven M. Kravitz v. United States of America, U.S. District Court Northern District of California Case No: C 06-1402 MMC

Steven M. Kravitz v. United States of America and Patriot Contract Services, LLC, U.S. District Court Northern District of California, Case No: C 06 2312 VRW

Dear Chief Judge Walker and Judge Chesney:

On June 14, 2006, we e-filed a letter to the Court stating that we represented the plaintiff seaman, Steven M. Kravitz, in the above referenced cases prior to his death. Neither complaint had been served. We suggested that the Court extend the impending case management deadlines for several months to allow Mr. Kravitz' widow and estate representative some time to decide whether the heirs or estate intended to appear and prosecute the above cases as wrongful death or survival actions. The Court graciously afforded Mr. Kravitz' estate and heirs more time by issuing orders continuing the initial case management conference until October 6, 2006 (in Case No: C 06-1402 MMC), and until September 26, 2006 (in Case No: C 06 2312 VRW).

During the past two months, we have had several telephone conversations with Mr. Kravitz' widow, Maria Kravitz, and his mother, Judy Kravitz. They are the closest surviving relatives and Maria is the representative of Mr. Kravitz' estate. They reside in Vancouver, Washington. Although they initially expressed a desire to find counsel and attempt to prosecute the cases as death and/or survival actions, they each confirmed to me yesterday in a telephone conversation that they have decided to not pursue any claims arising out of Mr. Kravitz' personal injuries and death. Neither complaint has been served on any defendant. Accordingly, it appears that these two cases may be dismissed at this time.

Because we no longer represent a party plaintiff in these cases, the dismissal should be without prejudice and by order of the Court pursuant to Rule 41(a)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. To assist the Court, we have taken the liberty of drafting and attaching a proposed form of dismissal for each action. If the Court has any questions, please have your staff call the undersigned at any time. Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully,

/s/ Kurt Micklow

Kurt Micklow Eugene A. Brodsky

Attachments KLM/td

cc: Maria Kravitz (via U.S. Mail) Judy Kravitz (via U.S. Mail)

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

) CASE NO. C06 1402 MMC
DISMISSAL
} {Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 41(a)(2)]
nce of former counsel for Plaintiff
14, 2006 and September 19, 2006,
his action is DISMISSED.
Maxine M. Chesney NITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Case No: C 06 2312 VRW	
DISMISSAL	
{Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 41(a)(2)]	

Having reviewed the correspondence of former counsel for Plaintiff Steven M. Kravitz, deceased, dated June 14, 2006 and September 19, 2006, and good cause appearing therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this cann is DISMISSED.

DATED: September 28, 2006

Vaughn R. Walker
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE